

TOWARD THE EMERGENCE OF TIME IN QUANTUM GRAVITY

A DISSERTATION
SUBMITTED TO THE DEPARTMENT OF PHYSICS
AND THE COMMITTEE ON GRADUATE STUDIES
OF STANFORD UNIVERSITY
IN PARTIAL FULFILLMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS
FOR THE DEGREE OF
DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY

Jordan Cotler

April 2020

© 2020 by Jordan Saul Cotler. All Rights Reserved.
Re-distributed by Stanford University under license with the author.



This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-Noncommercial 3.0 United States License.

<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0/us/>

This dissertation is online at: <http://purl.stanford.edu/bz561mz3482>

PREVIEW

I certify that I have read this dissertation and that, in my opinion, it is fully adequate in scope and quality as a dissertation for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy.

Patrick Hayden, Primary Adviser

I certify that I have read this dissertation and that, in my opinion, it is fully adequate in scope and quality as a dissertation for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy.

Steven Kivelson

I certify that I have read this dissertation and that, in my opinion, it is fully adequate in scope and quality as a dissertation for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy.

Leonard Susskind

Approved for the Stanford University Committee on Graduate Studies.

Stacey F. Bent, Vice Provost for Graduate Education

This signature page was generated electronically upon submission of this dissertation in electronic format. An original signed hard copy of the signature page is on file in University Archives.

Abstract

Space and time are fundamental to our description and understanding of physical laws. But are space and time themselves only approximations to an even more fundamental description of reality? Recent developments in quantum gravity have shown how space can be emergent from more fundamental principles. This dissertation provides a review of tools and results developed over the last five years which shed light on a more fundamental description of time. We first discuss a way of understanding time using quantum information theoretic techniques. These techniques are put to use to study how causality and the arrow of time can emerge from the pattern of entanglement in tensor networks. Next we discuss concrete models of emergent time in quantum gravity, culminating in a non-perturbative model in which both space and time are emergent from random matrices. This model describes low-dimensional de Sitter quantum gravity, which has a positive cosmological constant.

Acknowledgments

I would like to thank my adviser, Patrick Hayden, for his guidance and mentorship over the last 5 years, both academic and personal. Patrick's deep knowledge of quantum information has made him an invaluable resource and collaborator, and his office door is always open to invite long conversations. Patrick's kindness and encouragement in my broad research endeavors have helped shaped me as a physicist. I also greatly admire Patrick's enthusiasm for interdisciplinary problems, particularly at the interface between quantum information and quantum gravity. In this regard, he has been a guiding light not only to me personally, but to many others in our field.

I have also been fortunate to have many other outstanding mentors in physics, including Kristan Jensen, Xiao-Liang Qi, and Frank Wilczek. Kristan has been my kind and patient guide to quantum gravity, emphasizing the importance of precision calculations and diving headfirst into subtle details. Kristan's vast knowledge of the tools and logic of quantum gravity are matched in scope only by his generosity. I am grateful for our friendship, and our collaborations which have opened up new worlds to me (some imagined, some in de Sitter).

Xiao-Liang has been my frequent collaborator and mentor on a variety of subjects. I am always in awe of his lightning-fast physics reflexes and powerful visual thinking. His style of thinking and out-of-the-box approach to interdisciplinary physics projects has helped shape my research more broadly.

Frank has been a guiding influence in my physics career since I was an undergraduate, and his mentorship, often through our frequent Skype discussions, has had a profound impact on me as a physicist and person. Frank's creativity and curiosity are inspiring, and his inclination to work on theoretical problems with a firm base in reality has shaped the physics problems I choose to work on. Perhaps one of the most important lessons I have learned from Frank by his example is that one can view all of physics as a connected whole, and with hard work navigate and solve problems coming from conventionally different subfields.

I would also like to thank all of my collaborators over the last five years – they have each taught me so much, and made the journey of discovery so rewarding. My friends at Stanford and around the Bay Area have made living in California particularly enjoyable. A special thanks to Felipe Hernandez for all our long jogs, long dinners, and endless conversations.

Most importantly, I would like to thank my parents for always supporting me, and always believing in me throughout every step of life. They are not just the kind of parents that wanted me to follow my dreams – they did everything so that I could. My brother Ari has also given me his love, encouragement, and patience every step of the way. My grandparents have been a constant source of love and support. And good practice explaining physics to laymen.

Finally, I would like to thank the Fannie and John Hertz Foundation, as well as the Stanford Graduate Fellowships for their financial support. The Hertz Foundation has also played an important role in my social life, providing get-togethers with other Hertz fellows where I have met some of my closest friends.

PREVIEW

Contents

Abstract	iv
Acknowledgments	v
Introduction	1
I Spacetime Quantum States	4
1 Superdensity Operators for Spacetime Quantum Mechanics	5
1 Introduction	6
2 Superdensity formalism	8
2.1 Motivation	8
2.2 Operator bra-ket notation	12
2.3 Superdensity operator definition	14
2.4 Examples of superdensity operators	18
3 Measuring superdensity operators	22
3.1 Mapping time to space	23
3.2 Spacetime quantum tomography	25
4 Spacetime entropies	30
4.1 Features of spacetime entropies	30
4.2 Spacetime quantum mutual information	32
4.3 Relation to the Kolmogorov-Sinai entropy	35
4.4 Example: Free fermion model	37
4.5 Example: SYK model	41
4.6 Long-time limit	43
5 Experimental protocols	46
5.1 Coupling to auxiliary apparatus via Hamiltonian evolution	47
5.2 Measuring spacetime Rényi 2-entropies	50

6	Summary and conclusion	52
A	Channel mapping to initial time density operator	53
B	Relation to other formalisms	54
	B.1 Consistent histories and entangled histories	54
	B.2 Multi-time state formalism	57
C	Superdensity operator of free fermion systems	60
2	Quantum Causal Influence	65
1	Introduction	66
2	General Setup	71
	2.1 General tensor networks	71
	2.2 Tensor networks based on graphs	74
	2.3 Defining quantum causal influence	77
3	Boundary condition dependence of quantum causal influence	79
	3.1 Initial state dependence	80
	3.2 Conceptual remarks	83
	3.3 Trotterized tensor network	83
	3.4 Final state dependence (post-selection)	85
	3.5 General results	86
4	Nonlocality of the quantum causal influence	93
	4.1 Quantum error correction codes	94
	4.2 Scrambling	97
	4.3 Quantum teleportation	99
5	Quantum gravity examples	101
	5.1 Holographic tensor networks	101
	5.2 Black hole final state	106
6	Averaged quantum causal influence and spacetime quantum entropies	108
	6.1 Relation to spacetime quantum Rényi entropies	109
	6.2 Spin chain examples	111
	6.3 Stabilizer tensor network examples	113
	6.4 An upper bound by spacetime quantum mutual information	115
7	Conclusion and further discussion	118
A	Quantum causal influence for non-unitary regions	120
B	Review of the superdensity operator formalism	120
C	Classical analog of non-local causal influence	128
D	Numerical recipe for stabilizer tensor networks	132

II	Emergent Time in de Sitter	137
3	A theory of reparameterizations for AdS₃ gravity	138
1	Introduction	139
2	Review	145
2.1	Coadjoint orbits of the Virasoro group	146
2.2	Phase space path integrals	150
3	From AdS ₃ gravity to coadjoint orbits	155
3.1	Cylinder boundary	156
3.2	More on Euclidean black holes	163
3.3	$PSL(2; \mathbb{R})$ currents	165
3.4	Conical defects and the Poincaré patch	167
3.5	Coupling to an external geometry	168
3.6	Sphere partition function	171
4	Doubling and eternal BTZ black holes	174
5	Torus partition function and $\langle TT \rangle$	179
5.1	One-loop determinants	180
5.2	Revisiting the gravitational one-loop partition function	183
5.3	Localization	184
5.4	$\langle TT \rangle$	187
6	Virasoro blocks	189
6.1	The light-light limit	191
6.2	The heavy-light limit	195
7	Supersymmetry	200
8	Discussion	207
4	Low-dimensional de Sitter quantum gravity	212
1	Introduction	213
2	Nearly dS ₂	217
2.1	Basic solutions	219
2.2	Schwarzian boundary action	222
2.3	Topological gauge theory for nearly dS ₂ gravity	226
2.4	The map to Euclidean nearly AdS ₂ gravity	231
2.5	Boundary action from topological gauge theory	238
2.6	Symplectic measure	243
2.7	The special case of integer α	246
2.8	Gravitational scattering	248
2.9	Partition functions	254

3	A genus expansion	262
3.1	Physical interpretation of higher genus nearly dS_2 spacetimes	262
3.2	Higher genus amplitudes	270
3.3	Matrix model interpretation	276
4	dS_3	280
4.1	Preliminaries	281
4.2	The map to Euclidean AdS_3 gravity	286
4.3	Boundary actions	289
4.4	Gravitational path integrals	301
5	Concluding remarks	307
5.1	Summary	307
5.2	Discussion	315
5	Emergent unitarity in de Sitter from matrix integrals	319
1	Introduction	320
2	Nearly dS_2 gravity	323
2.1	Some review	323
2.2	What are we computing?	324
3	Asymptotic Hilbert space and infinite evolution	327
3.1	One past boundary and one future boundary	328
3.2	Many boundaries and Fock space	334
3.3	Non-perturbative corrections	336
3.4	A few comments on horizon entropy	338
4	Matrix model interpretation	338
4.1	Matrix models and the holographic dictionary	339
4.2	Amplitudes from matrix integrals	342
4.3	Beyond the JT matrix integral	346
5	Discussion	350
	Afterword	354
	Bibliography	355

List of Figures

2.1	(a) The world lines of two spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ particles 1, 2 in spacetime (red curves). Two operators V_1 and V_2 probe the spins of the two particles at time $t = 0$. (b) When the initial state of the spins of the two particles forms an EPR pair, the effect of $V_1 \otimes V_2$ on particles 1 and 2 is equivalent to applying $V_2 V_1^T$ to particle 2 alone.	66
2.2	Depiction of regions A at time t_1 and B at time t_2 for a spin chain. The causal influence is measured by inserting a unitary operator U_A in region A (orange box) and studying its effect on the measurement of an arbitrary operator O_B in B (blue box).	67
2.3	(a) An example of a tensor network describing a unitary operator $W = (VU)^M$. Each vertex is a two-qubit unitary gate with the inputs and outputs indicated by arrows pointing toward or away from the vertex, respectively. (b) This is the tensor network obtained by contracting W and W^\dagger with an initial state ρ_i (the red box), and then taking a trace. In other words, the tensor network computes $\text{tr}(W\rho_i W^\dagger) = \text{tr}(\rho_i) = 1$. (c) The tensor network representation of a two-point function defined in Eqn. (2.1).	71
2.4	This tensor network is a special case of the one in Figure 2.3(c). The network here specifies a particular choice of ρ_i , namely a matrix product operator (MPO), which is depicted within the dashed red lines. We have also put in purple dashed lines to illustrate the fact that taking a trace is equivalent to taking an inner product with a maximally mixed density matrix $\rho_f = \mathbf{1}/d$, up to a normalization d (i.e., the Hilbert space dimension of a spatial slice).	72
2.5	(a) A graph $G = (V, E)$ is shown in blue. (b) A representation of the link state $ L\rangle$. Each line with a dot at each end represents an EPR pair, with the dots corresponding to qudits. The dotted red circles designate the collections of qudits corresponding to vertices v of the graph G . The number of qudits at a vertex of the graph is the same as the degree of that vertex.	75

2.6	(a) A diagrammatic representation of $ L\rangle$ and ρ_P for a nascent MPS tensor network. The blue triangles represent the 3-qudit pure states $\langle\varphi $ (each upper triangle) and $ \varphi\rangle$ (each lower triangle), and the green boxes are 1-qudit identity operators. Therefore, $\rho_P = (\varphi\rangle\langle\varphi \otimes \mathbf{1})^{\otimes N}$ for some N . (b) A diagrammatic representation of $\langle L \rho_P L\rangle$. The green boxes can be omitted since they are identity operators. (c) If we split $\langle L \rho_P L\rangle$ by cutting through the vertical links, we obtain two MPS states. (d) A diagram of the two-point function $\langle\text{MPS} AB \text{MPS}\rangle$	76
2.7	A spacetime state with initial state ρ_i . Two spacetime points x and y are designated, along with their mirror copies x' and y'	79
2.8	A spacetime state, such that operators are not inserted later than a time T . Then the unitary evolution U after time T cancels out with the corresponding unitary evolution U^\dagger	81
2.9	In the Trotter network, $\text{CI}(x : y) = 0$ unless y is in the future light cone of x	84
2.10	In a Trotter network with a special initial state, some causal influence can be lost.	85
2.11	In a Trotter network with a special initial <i>and</i> final state, there can be multiple arrows of time, as depicted by the arrows.	85
2.12	(a) The link state $ L\rangle$ is depicted. The region Σ is outlined in blue, and in this case contains 3 vertices and 11 qudits. (b) The state $ L_{\Sigma\leftrightarrow\Sigma}\rangle \otimes L_{\Sigma\leftrightarrow\bar{\Sigma}}\rangle$ is shown. The EPR pairs lying within Σ form $ L_{\Sigma\leftrightarrow\Sigma}\rangle$, and the EPR pairs crossing the boundary of Σ form $ L_{\Sigma\leftrightarrow\bar{\Sigma}}\rangle$. The qudits lying outside of Σ form the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_{\partial\Sigma}$. (c) By taking two copies of $ L_{\Sigma\leftrightarrow\Sigma}\rangle \otimes L_{\Sigma\leftrightarrow\bar{\Sigma}}\rangle$ and partially contracting their Σ regions with the state ρ_P^Σ , we obtain the density matrix $\sigma^{\partial\Sigma}$, which is depicted in the Figure. The light blue region represents the contraction of the Σ regions of $ L_{\Sigma\leftrightarrow\Sigma}\rangle \otimes L_{\Sigma\leftrightarrow\bar{\Sigma}}\rangle$ and $\langle L_{\Sigma\leftrightarrow\Sigma} \otimes \langle L_{\Sigma\leftrightarrow\bar{\Sigma}} $ with ρ_P^Σ . We see that the density matrix $\sigma^{\partial\Sigma}$ maps $\mathcal{H}_{\partial\Sigma}^* \otimes \mathcal{H}_{\partial\Sigma} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, since a state on $\mathcal{H}_{\partial\Sigma}$ can be contracted with the exposed legs on the right-hand side, and a dual state on $\mathcal{H}_{\partial\Sigma}$ can be contracted with the exposed legs on the left-hand side.	88
2.13	(a) A Trotterized network comprised of a spacetime state contracted with its Hermitian conjugate with initial state ρ_i , and broken legs to allow the insertion of operators into x and y as well as x' and y' . (b) A more abstract superdensity operator, allowing for operator insertions at x and y as well as x' and y'	90
2.14	If $\text{CI}(x : y) = 0$, then the superdensity operator with fixed y insertions can be written as a linear combination of a tensor network with a maximally mixed past, and a tensor network with a maximally mixed future.	91
2.15	The spacetime state for the three qutrit code.	97

2.16	A perturbation is made at some initial time, which then spreads out over a scrambling time t_{scr} , inside a cone (shown as dotted orange lines) bounded by the butterfly velocity v_B [117, 122, 123]. Here, time runs from bottom to top. (a) A perturbation at a barely causally influences the subregion B , since B is less than half of the system size. (b) and (c) A perturbation at a strongly causally influences B , if B is greater than half of the system size. The two figures illustrate the cases when region B is a contiguous spatial region or the union of many contiguous regions. The conclusion applies to both cases.	98
2.17	A spacetime diagram of the quantum teleportation protocol. Space runs horizontally, and time runs vertically from bottom to top. The consequence of teleportation is that the future of x (the teleportee C_0 right before teleportation happens) shrinks from the ordinary future light cone Σ_1 to a subset Σ_2 (the future of the point where teleportation is finished). Note that the EPR pair of A_0, B_0 is still outside the future of x , as is expected by microscopic causality.	99
2.18	A hyperbolic perfect tensor network in which y is not in the future of x , as U_x can be pushed to the boundary only using the circuit on the left-side of the geodesic (red dashed line). A multi-site region such as z (6 yellow links) can be causally influenced by x since there is no way to push U_x to boundary without passing through z . This type of non-local causal influence is characteristic of holographic systems, and does not occur, for example, on a fixed Cauchy slice for a quantum field theory. This Figure is adapted from [103].	102
2.19	Exotic quantum Cauchy slices of the HaPPY code holographic state.	104
2.20	Causal influence for two bulk regions at different times. (a) Bulk time evolution is defined by pulling back the boundary time evolution using a holographic tensor network (see text). (b) An illustration in a (2+1)d bulk. An operator on a small region A can be reconstructed in a boundary region R , which evolves into a slightly bigger region $R_{\Delta t}$ after a short time Δt . Therefore, all operators in the complement entanglement wedge $\Sigma_{\overline{R_{\Delta t}}}$ still commute with the operator at A , which proves that A has no causal influence on any region $B \subset \Sigma_{\overline{R_{\Delta t}}}$	105
2.21	(a) The Penrose diagram of a Schwarzschild black hole with infalling matter (black curve) and infalling and outgoing Hawking radiation (blue lines). The red line represents singularity. (b) The Horowitz-Maldacena final state projection model, with the infalling matter and infalling Hawking qubits projected to a pure state at the singularity. A, B_1, B_2 are small regions of infalling matter, infalling radiation and outgoing radiation, respectively. C_1, C_2 are bigger regions of the infalling radiation, for which artifacts of the final state projection are detectable.	107

2.22	(a) A diagrammatic representation of the R tensor, as per Eqn. (2.73). (b) An equivalent diagrammatic representation of the R tensor, where tensor legs have been relabelled by the isomorphism of Hilbert spaces as per Eqn. (2.74).	109
2.23	The averaged quantum causal influence $\overline{CI}(A : B)$ for a quantum spin chain for length-1 regions A and B . Region A is at site 5 in the middle of the chain at time $t = 0$. The heat maps depict $\overline{CI}(A : B)$ as a function of the position and time of B . Results are obtained for two different initial states: the ground state and the “all-up” state. The calculation is done for a quantum Ising model with 10 sites. The Hamiltonian has nearest neighbor ZZ interactions with coupling $J = 1$, a transverse field, and open boundary conditions. The coupling for the transverse field is $\vec{h} = (1, 0, 0)$ for the integrable model (see (a) and (b)) and $\vec{h} = (1.48, 0, -0.7)$ for the chaotic model (see (c) and (d)).	112
2.24	Initial state dependence of the aQCI. (a) The aQCI, $\overline{CI}(A : B)$, of the quantum Ising model with region A being site 1 at $t = 0$, as a function of the position and time of the single site region B . The initial state is the ground state $ G\rangle$. (b) The same quantity with the initial state $U_R G\rangle$, where U_R is a Haar random unitary operator acting on the right half of the system. The red dashed line is a visual guide of the “heat wavefront.” The calculation is performed for the quantum Ising model with $J = 1$, $\vec{h} = [1.48, 0, 0.70]$, with open boundary conditions.	113
2.25	The causal future of a link at $t = 0$ (pointing to the upper left in the center of the lowest layer) is colored orange. In particular, the orange points are individually causally influenced by the the link at the initial time. The vertical axis is time and the horizontal axis is space (links) with periodic boundaries. Top-left: the integrable swap code with a random stabilizer initial state; top-right: the perfect $[[4,0,3]]$ qutrit code with the same initial state; bottom-left: the same perfect code with initial state $\otimes 0\rangle\langle 0 $; bottom-right: the same perfect code with an infinite temperature initial state in the region marked red and $\otimes 0\rangle\langle 0 $ marked blue. Dashed lines are visual guides for the light cones of the red regions.	114
2.26	A diagram for the one-time pad. Here, ρ_{message} is the state of the message, σ_{key} is the state of the secret key, and P is encrypts the message using the secret key, as described in Eqn. (2.114) and the surrounding text.	130
2.27	Ordering of links in two simple geometries: one rank-four tensor and two rank-four tensors with a pair of links contracted.	132

3.1	A graphical representation of the Kruskal extended BTZ black hole (3.157). Here u and v are the standard light-cone coordinates in the plane and θ is suppressed. The solid black lines are the asymptotic AdS boundaries, the dashed grey lines are the horizons, and the dotted red lines are the past and future singularities. The blue lines indicate curves of constant z	175
3.2	The exchange diagram which computes the $O(1/C)$ correction to the identity block. The blobs refer to the bilocal operators, and the vertex factors $\mathcal{J}_{12}^{(1)}$ and $\mathcal{J}_{34}^{(1)}$ are the linear couplings of the reparameterized two-point function to the ϵ field and are given in (3.258). The ϵ propagator is given in (3.265).	193
3.3	The diagram with n exchanges of the ϵ field between two bilocals.	194
3.4	The one-loop diagram which gives the $O(1/C)$ correction to the identity block in the heavy-light limit. The coupling to the reparameterization field is given by $\frac{h^2}{2C} (\mathcal{J}_{12}^{(1)} \cdot \epsilon)^2 + h_L \mathcal{J}_{12}^{(2)} \cdot \epsilon$	198
4.1	(a) The Penrose diagram for global dS_2 with $\alpha = 1$. In our analysis we consider fluctuating Cauchy surfaces (depicted as blue curves) near past and future infinity. The left and right boundaries are identified. The geometries with $\alpha < 1$ are “squashed” horizontally, while those with $\alpha > 1$ are “stretched.” (b) A cartoon of the geometry used in the Hartle-Hawking construction. The Lorentzian and Euclidean segments are glued together across the circle. The fluctuating boundary is indicated in blue.	219
4.2	Under the analytic continuation $b \rightarrow i\alpha$, $J'_R \rightarrow -iJ$, $J'_L \rightarrow iJ$, the double trumpet of [252] is mapped to nearly global dS_2	236
4.3	A topological annulus, with a Wilson loop depicted by a dotted curve. The monodromy is parameterized by λ	241
4.4	(a) A Witten diagram, with a spatial slice of dS_2 drawn as a disc. The solid lines are scalars, and there is a single scalar exchange. This process is encoded in the boundary Schwarzian description as an operator, the reparameterized four-point function, endowing it with a coupling to the reparameterization field. (b) The expectation value of the matter four-point function in the Schwarzian theory dresses the process with an infinite number of corrections involving exchanges of the reparameterization modes, indicated with dotted lines.	251
4.5	The two one-loop diagrams that correct the two-point function at $O(1/C) = O(G_2)$	252
4.6	The tree-level exchange diagram which contributes the $O(1/C)$ correction to the connected four-point function of two different scalar operators V and W	254
4.7	Future and past dS_2 trumpets.	259

4.8	Our higher genus geometries. We begin with some smooth surface equipped with a flat $PSL(2; \mathbb{R})$ connection, pictured in the middle. It has asymptotic boundaries, around which there are elliptic holonomies characterized by α_i . From this connection we may obtain a mixed-signature metric, pictured on the left. It has Lorentzian dS_2 regions glued to an intermediate $R = -2$ surface Σ with cone points. The Lorentzian regions have minimal geodesics of lengths $2\pi\alpha_i$, matching the cone angles of Σ . Equivalently, we can extract a Euclidean $R = -2$ geometry pictured on the right, in which the asymptotic regions are replaced with hyperbolic cones of opening angles $2\pi\alpha_i$ glued to the circles at \mathcal{I}^\pm	268
4.9	Depiction of the change of complex time contour to go from the Hartle-Hawking geometry in Lorentzian nearly- dS_2 in $(-, +)$ signature to the disk in Euclidean nearly- AdS_2 in $(-, -)$ signature.	269
4.10	The change of complex time contour to go from the global de Sitter geometry in Lorentzian nearly- dS_2 in $(-, +)$ signature to the double trumpet geometry in Euclidean nearly- AdS_2 in $(-, -)$ signature. The global de Sitter geometry is the only classical solution to nearly- dS_2 JT gravity.	270
4.11	The Penrose diagram for dS_3 . The fluctuating spatial boundaries at past and future infinity are depicted in blue.	282
4.12	The Penrose diagram for the inflating patch of dS_3 , depicted in light blue. The constant-time slices (i.e., “flat slicings”) are shown in gray.	285
4.13	figure	307
5.1	The transition amplitude $\langle \ell_1, \ell_2 \widehat{\mathcal{U}} \ell'_1, \ell'_2 \rangle$ is a sum over geometries which connect two circles at past infinity with two circles at future infinity. At leading order in the genus expansion, the amplitude is a sum of two terms, analogous to Wick contractions, as given in Eq. (5.11). The dots indicate subleading terms in the genus expansion. Each boundary circle is “wiggly,” on account of the Schwarzian reparameterization mode living on it.	327
5.2	The inner product $\langle \ell \ell' \rangle$ is a sum over patches of spacetime in the asymptotic past. This patch runs between a circle with renormalized signed length ℓ' , on which we impose the past version of the nearly dS_2 boundary conditions (5.3), and another circle with ℓ on which we impose the future version of the nearly dS_2 boundary conditions.	329
5.3	The basic observable of de Sitter JT gravity is the annulus partition function, $Z_{0,1,1}(\ell, \ell')$, the path integral over global nearly dS_2 space and metric/dilaton configurations connected to it. Properly normalized it gives the leading expression for the infinite-time evolution operator in (5.25).	331

5.4 An entry in the dictionary between the matrix integral and de Sitter JT gravity.
The JT partition function on a genus g surface with two future boundaries and one
past boundary is equal to the indicated three-point function of the matrix ensemble.
See (5.60). 341

PREVIEW

Introduction

Our most incisive analysis of non-perturbative quantum gravity comes from various examples of the AdS/CFT correspondence, originating in Maldacena’s seminal paper [1]. The correspondence says that certain kinds of d -dimensional CFTs are non-perturbatively equivalent to string theory in Anti-de Sitter space in $(d + 1)$ -dimensions.¹ In particular, one of the spatial dimensions of the AdS theory “emerges” from the CFT. Over the last decade, there has been increasing emphasis on the perspective that in AdS/CFT, a quantum gravity theory is encoded in a *non*-gravitational theory, i.e. the CFT. Said simply, within quantum mechanics lies the equations of gravity. Rather than attempting to combine quantum mechanics and gravity into a unified theory, we should instead attempt to unearth quantum gravity hidden in certain quantum mechanical systems.

This perspective has led to intense study of how the quantum information theoretic properties of CFTs and related quantum many-body systems encode the data of hidden quantum gravity theories in one higher spatial dimension. Along the way, previously unknown structures of quantum field theories were elucidated by quantum information-theoretic analyses. Remarkable connections between entanglement and emergent space were proposed and studied theoretically, and many insights into the quantum nature of black holes were realized.

Despite these advances, we still have a very limited understanding of non-perturbative quantum gravity with a *positive* cosmological constant, which would be required to understand our universe. The fact that AdS has a negative cosmological constant is deeply ingrained in the paradigm of AdS/CFT, and is not merely a minor technical point that can be readily modified with a minus sign. In fact, it is believed that with a putative dS/CFT correspondence, or more generally a framework for de Sitter holography, time should be emergent. This is difficult to fathom – if time is emergent, then so too must be quantum mechanics. At stake are the basic structures defining quantum mechanics, namely unitary evolution preserving a positive-definite inner product on a Hilbert space. If de Sitter holography is emergent from a non-quantum mechanical theory, then the paradigm previously mentioned of “gravity hidden in quantum mechanics” may have to be seriously revised to understand our universe. As such, it is not clear which lessons of AdS/CFT will carry

¹There are also additional compact dimensions that are the size of the AdS scale, but we will not discuss them here.

over to the setting of a positive cosmological constant.

Perhaps these deep questions have seen less attention because it is not at all clear how to proceed. Unlike AdS/CFT, we lack clear and tractable examples of de Sitter holography with which to ground our understanding. Although we know well the rules of AdS/CFT, the rules of de Sitter holography have remained elusive. So progress on de Sitter holography over the last 20 years has been mostly intermittent.

This dissertation summarizes my and my collaborators' attempt over the last five years to grapple with de Sitter holography and more broadly the emergence of time in quantum gravity. This research program is presently ongoing, but we have to date made serious progress on multiple fronts. **Part I** presents a framework for studying quantum information in spacetime. While quantum states encode correlations at a fixed time, we introduce and study *superdensity operators* which encode spacetime correlations in their pattern of entanglement. This is covered in **Chapter 1**. Next, in **Chapter 2**, we put superdensity operators to work to study how tensor networks can encode causal structure and the arrow of time. Here we start shedding away the usual structures of quantum mechanics: we see how space and time can be encoded in the entanglement of a tensor network itself, without any explicit time evolution (i.e., a Hamiltonian, quantum channels, etc.). While the framework and results of **Chapter 1** and **Chapter 2** provide interesting insights for conventional quantum many-body systems and even AdS/CFT, they do not provide us with a model of de Sitter holography. However, the tools are useful for studying models of de Sitter physics.

In **Part II**, we formulate a model of de Sitter holography, and develop techniques to study it. We find that the de Sitter quantum gravity theory is equivalent to a non-quantum theory with neither space nor time. Thus, space, time, and quantum mechanics are emergent in the quantum gravitational description. The way in which this manifests is rather remarkable, and appears to be the first example of its kind. We develop relevant theoretical tools first in the more familiar setting of AdS in **Chapter 3**, followed by dS in **Chapter 4**. The de Sitter holography framework and emergent quantum mechanics, space, and time are analyzed in **Chapter 5**.

Going forward, there is much more work to be done to build on the model of de Sitter holography developed in **Chapter 5**. Our hope is that our findings will shed light on the fundamental nature of time.

Published Contents

The chapters of this thesis are essentially the same as the following published works and preprints:

Chapter 1:

- Cotler, Jordan, Chao-Ming Jian, Xiao-Liang Qi, and Frank Wilczek. “Superdensity Operators for Spacetime Quantum Mechanics.” *Journal of High Energy Physics* 2018.9 (2018): 93.

Chapter 2:

- Cotler, Jordan, Xizhi Han, Xiao-Liang Qi, and Zhao Yang. “Quantum Causal Influence.” *Journal of High Energy Physics* 2019.7 (2019): 42.

Chapter 3:

- Cotler, Jordan, and Kristan Jensen. “A theory of reparameterizations for AdS₃ gravity.” *Journal of High Energy Physics* 2019.2 (2019): 79.

Chapter 4:

- Cotler, Jordan, Kristan Jensen, and Alexander Maloney. “Low-dimensional de Sitter quantum gravity.” *arXiv:1905.03780* (2019).

Chapter 5:

- Cotler, Jordan, and Kristan Jensen. “Emergent unitarity in de Sitter from matrix integrals.” *arXiv:1911.12358* (2019).

Part I

Spacetime Quantum States

PREVIEW

Chapter 1

Superdensity Operators for Spacetime Quantum Mechanics

This chapter is essentially the same as

- Cotler, Jordan, Chao-Ming Jian, Xiao-Liang Qi, and Frank Wilczek. “Superdensity Operators for Spacetime Quantum Mechanics.” *Journal of High Energy Physics* 2018.9 (2018): 93.

Abstract

We introduce superdensity operators as a tool for analyzing quantum information in spacetime. Superdensity operators encode spacetime correlation functions in an operator framework, and support a natural generalization of Hilbert space techniques and Dirac’s transformation theory as traditionally applied to standard density operators. Superdensity operators can be measured experimentally, but accessing their full content requires novel procedures. We demonstrate these statements on several examples. The superdensity formalism suggests useful definitions of spacetime entropies and spacetime quantum channels. For example, we show that the von Neumann entropy of a superdensity operator is related to a quantum generalization of the Kolmogorov-Sinai entropy, and compute this for a many-body system. We also suggest experimental protocols for measuring spacetime entropies.

1 Introduction

Large parts of the existing formalism of quantum mechanics, and its interpretative apparatus, treat space and time on very different footings. Yet in classical physics it is often advantageous, especially in the analytical theory of dynamical systems, to consider time as an extra dimension on the same footing as spatial dimensions [2]. And of course grossly asymmetric treatment of time and space is, from the point of view of relativity, disturbing and unnatural. In this paper, we propose a formalism for *spacetime* quantum theory which ameliorates the asymmetry. This formalism suggests new ways to analyze the dynamics of quantum information and entanglement in spacetime, and new experiments to elucidate that dynamics.

Before attempting to put space and time on similar footing in quantum theory, it is instructive to recall how space is treated in quantum theory – in other words, the relationship between physical space and the Hilbert space of (single-time) states. In simple cases, we can decompose Hilbert state space into tensor factors

$$\mathcal{H} = \bigotimes_{\mathbf{x}} \mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{x}} \quad (1.1)$$

with each factor corresponding to a particular point in space \mathbf{x} . In this way, the tensor factor decomposition identifies local degrees of freedom.

Given a quantum state, we can analyze it relative to the tensor factors $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{x}}$, using a basis of the Hilbert space which is the tensor product of bases of the individual tensor factors. We learn about spatial correlations by considering entanglement between partial traces of the quantum state (i.e., spatial entanglement) or, in practice, by measuring correlation functions of operators that act on different tensor factors (i.e., local observables). Another, more general way to identify local degrees of freedom is to provide a “net of observables,” essentially defining which operators on the Hilbert space are local [3]. Also, notoriously, important subtleties arise in taking infinite products of Hilbert spaces. In this paper we will prioritize simplicity over maximum generality.

To upgrade time from its parametric manifestation, it is natural to consider an expanded Hilbert space, containing tensor products for different times, which we will call the history Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_{\text{hist.}}$. Supposing a tensor factorization into spacetime points is possible, then the history Hilbert space takes the form

$$\mathcal{H}_{\text{hist.}} = \bigotimes_{\mathbf{x}, t} \mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{x}, t}. \quad (1.2)$$

More compactly, if \mathcal{H}_t is the Hilbert space of states at time t , then $\mathcal{H}_{\text{hist.}} = \bigotimes_t \mathcal{H}_t$. Now we require a spacetime generalization of quantum states. It is tempting to think that the right notion of spacetime states would simply be states in $\mathcal{H}_{\text{hist.}}$ with the standard inner product, but this turns

out not to be useful. In fact, finding a suitable notion of spacetime states is rather subtle.

History Hilbert space has appeared before in discussions of quantum theory, perhaps most notably in Griffiths' foundational work on consistent histories [4–6]. In the consistent histories framework and its variations the central objects are projection operators on $\mathcal{H}_{\text{hist}}$. [7–16]. In the entangled histories formalism developed by two of the authors of the present paper, the consistent histories formalism was generalized to define quantities which are more akin to spacetime states (in particular, allowing superposition) and some of their characteristic phenomenology was explored [17–20]. Other attempts at defining spacetime states include multi-time states [21–23] and pseudo-density matrices [24]. We should also mention that the multi-time correlation operator, which was developed as a tool to study dynamical entropies in quantum systems [25–31], embodies a special case of our superdensity operator. We will return to comparative discussion of some of these approaches later; for now, let us only mention that each of them can be expressed within the superdensity formalism, which appears to us more systematic and comprehensive. We have been inspired by the elegance and power that Dirac's transformation theory achieves for quantum states, and have attempted to achieve something analogous for spacetime analogs of quantum states. There has also been related work on spacetime quantum circuits and quantum measurements [32–41] which can be interfaced with our formalism.

In the approach pursued here, superdensity operators play a central role. Mathematically, superdensity operators are *quadratic forms on the space of operators on the history Hilbert space*. Physically, the superdensity operator of a physical system codifies its response to experimental probes, allowing that those probes may be applied at different times (and places). More abstractly, just as a standard density operator represents a state and encodes the data of all correlation functions at a fixed time, we propose that the superdensity operator represents a spacetime state, and encodes the data of all *spacetime* correlation functions. As the name suggests, superdensity operators share many formal properties with density operators. We will demonstrate, in particular, that the information theoretic properties of superdensity operators – such as their entanglement, entropies, mutual informations, etc. – are meaningful notions with operational physical significance. Thus the superdensity operator provides a compelling definition of the spacetime state of a system, which also appears to be fruitful.

The paper is organized as follows:

- In Section 2 we will further motivate, define, and exemplify superdensity operators, and discuss their formal properties. Within this framework, the concepts of spacetime observables and spacetime entanglement arise as naturally as do the corresponding concepts for (single-time) states.
- In Section 3 we show that the superdensity operator is in principle observable, and discuss the interesting, novel kinds of measurements its full exploration requires.
- In Section 4 we show how the superdensity operator suggests a definition of quantum dynamical