First Result for Dark Matter Search by WINERED

Wen Yin[®],^{1,2} Taiki Bessho[®],³ Yuji Ikeda,^{4,3} Hitomi Kobayashi[®],³ Daisuke Taniguchi[®],⁵

Hiroaki Sameshima[®],⁶ Noriyuki Matsunaga,⁷ Shogo Otsubo,⁴ Yuki Sarugaku,⁴ Tomomi Takeuchi,⁴

Haruki Kato,⁴ Satoshi Hamano,⁵ and Hideyo Kawakita^{4,8}

¹Department of Physics, Tokyo Metropolitan University, Minami-Osawa, Hachioji-shi, Tokyo 192-0397 Japan

²Department of Physics, Tohoku University, Sendai, Miyagi 980-8578, Japan

³PhotoCross Co. Ltd., 17-203 Iwakura-Minami-Osagicho, Sakyo-ku, Kyoto 606-0003, Japan

⁴Laboratory of Infrared High-resolution Spectroscopy, Koyama Astronomical Observatory,

Kyoto Sangyo University Motoyama, Kamigamo, Kita-ku, Kyoto 603-8555, Japan

⁵National Astronomical Observatory of Japan, 2-21-1 Osawa, Mitaka, Tokyo 181-8588, Japan

⁶Institute of Astronomy, the University of Tokyo, 2-21-1 Osawa, Mitaka, Tokyo 181-0015, Japan

⁷Department of Astronomy, Graduate School of Science, University of Tokyo, 7-3-1 Hongo, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo 113-0033, Japan

⁸Department of Astrophysics and Atmospheric Sciences, Faculty of Science, Kyoto Sangyo University, Kyoto, Japan

(Received 22 February 2024; revised 2 December 2024; accepted 7 January 2025; published 7 February 2025)

The identity of dark matter has been a mystery in astronomy, cosmology, and particle theory for about a century. We present the first dark matter search with a high-dispersion spectrograph by using WINERED at the 6.5 m Magellan Clay telescope to measure the photons from the dark matter decays. The dwarf spheroidal galaxies (dSphs) Leo V and Tucana II are observed by utilizing an object-sky-object nodding observation technique. Employing zero consistent flux data after the sky subtraction and performing Doppler shift analysis for further background subtraction, we have established one of the most stringent limits to date on dark matter lifetime in the mass range of 1.8-2.7 eV. The conservative bound is translated to the photon coupling, $g_{\phi\gamma\gamma}$ for axionlike particles around $g_{\phi\gamma\gamma} \lesssim (2-3) \times 10^{-11}$ GeV⁻¹ (10^{-10} GeV⁻¹) for the case that ultrafaint dSphs have the Navarro-Frenk-White (generalized Hernquist) dark matter profile.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.134.051004

Introduction—Dark matter has played a crucial role in the evolution of the universe, and its existence is now considered certain in the current universe. Yet, despite numerous experimental searches, the basic properties of dark matter, such as mass and nongravitational interactions, have remained unknown for about a century. The spatial distribution of dark matter within galaxies is typically inferred from gravitational interactions, evident in phenomena like the rotation curves of galaxies.

One candidate for dark matter is an undiscovered elementary particle known as the axionlike particle (ALP), which couples with photons. Theoretically, scenarios like the "ALP miracle" [1,2] (see Refs. [2,3] for the detailed parameter region and [4] for the scenario that the strong CP problem can be explained) predict dark matter in the mass range of 0.01-7.7 eV with a particular massphoton coupling relation. In this scenario, the ALP gluon coupling is suppressed, and various constraints from, e.g., thermal production and astrophysical productions, are alleviated, c.f. Refs. [5–8]. It predicts the existence of the ALP with masses near eV, decaying into two photons, producing narrow-line emissions in the infrared region. Alternatively, the hot dark matter paradigm, prevalent about 40 years ago, suggests a dark matter mass around the eV scale. Although dark matter produced thermally tends to have too long a free-streaming length, it can be cold dark matter if the Bose-enhancement effect is significant in thermal production. This is because stimulated emission is IR dominant and reaches a steady state that the small momentum modes are populated [9,10]. Phenomenologically, when the photon coupling of the ALP dark matter is $g_{\phi\gamma\gamma} = \mathcal{O}(10^{-10}) \text{ GeV}^{-1}$, it can explain two independent excesses relevant to the cosmic infrared background simultaneously if the mass is around 2 eV [16–18] (see also Refs. [19–24]).

Recently, motivated by those nontrivial coincidences, the authors in Ref. [25] proposed that the state-of-the-art infrared spectrographs, such as the Warm INfrared Echelle spectrograph for Realizing Extreme Dispersion and sensitivity (WINERED) installed at the 6.5 m Magellan Clay telescope and the Near-Infrared Spectrograph (NIRSpec) on the James Webb Space Telescope (JWST), can efficiently search for the eV range dark matter. This is particularly relevant if the dark matter decays into a photon with a narrow line spectrum, as background noises usually constitute continuous spectra.

In this Letter, we use WINERED [26–31], a nearinfrared, high-resolution spectrograph developed by the University of Tokyo alongside the Laboratory of Infrared High-resolution Spectroscopy at Kyoto Sangyo University, to search for dark matter. It is a PI-type instrument that began operating with the 3.58 m New Technology Telescope (NTT) at La Silla Observatory in 2017 and was subsequently fitted to the 6.5 m Magellan Clay telescope at Las Campanas Observatory. Offering unparalleled sensitivity with an instrumental throughput reaching up to 50%, WINERED stands out among near-infrared high-resolution spectrographs, attachable to telescopes ranging from intermediate (3–4 m class) to large (8–10 m class), especially in the short NIR spectrum (0.9–1.35 µm). WINERED is equipped with three observational settings: the "WIDE" mode (R = 28000), the "HIRES-Y" mode (R = 68000), and the "HIRES-J" mode (R = 68000). Here $R \equiv \lambda/\Delta\lambda_{FWHM}$ quantify the spectral resolution with λ ($\Delta\lambda_{FWHM}$) being the wavelength (peak full width at half of the maximum hight).

On July 6, 2023, we used the WIDE mode of WINERED on the Magellan Telescope to perform a 1 h observation of the Leo V dwarf spheroidal galaxy (dSph), and a 0.5 h observation for the blank sky to subtract the background noise to search for dark matter. We found some excesses in the data. One way to further reduce the noise is to examine the Doppler shift of the wavelengths, which arises from the varying radial velocities among different dSphs as suggested in [25]. Specifically, we will check if the potential intrinsic sources of the line spectra are at rest in any dSphs. Therefore, we performed the second observation on November 2, 2023, by looking at the Tucana II for 1.2 h with a blank sky observation of 0.7 h.

In this Letter, we use data consistent with zero after sky subtraction to establish a limit on the dark matter, assuming that dark matter decays into two particles, one of which is a photon. We find that our observational data can set one of the strongest limits on the dark matter decay rate in the mass range of 1.8–2.7 eV. Our result is robust because we do not rely on a specific background model.

Here, we mention the dark matter search using the NIRSpec at JWST. Although it was discussed that interstellar absorption makes the search around the Milky Way galactic center less effective compared to searching for dark matter in certain dSphs [25], the search of the nongalactic center was performed by analyzing public blank sky data by subtracting continuous spectra [32]. This turned out to be an economical approach without proposing a specific observation, and the result is adjusted by including the effects of interstellar absorption and different choices of the D factor [see Eq. (3) for definition] [33]. The future reach was also estimated in Refs. [25,32,33]. In the optical range, indirect detection of dark matter was also performed [34-36]. Since the observational targets, dark matter mass ranges, and methods are different, the constraints are complementary. For instance, our analysis can also constrain spectra other than line ones (e.g., Ref. [37]), while the analysis subtracting continuous spectra cannot.

Lines from dark matter in dSphs—Our main focus in this Letter is the dark matter that decays into two particles, one of which is a photon. Here, we define the decay rate as Γ_{ϕ} , which also represents the inverse of the lifetime, and denote the number of photons in the final states by q. The mass of the dark matter is m_{ϕ} . We assume that both daughter particles are significantly lighter than the dark matter and thus neglect their masses. For instance, the well-studied ALP dark matter has $\Gamma_{\phi} = (g_{\phi\gamma\gamma}^2/64\pi)m_{\phi}^3$, where $g_{\phi\gamma\gamma}$ is the ALP coupling to photons, and q = 2.

The photon differential flux at the outer surface of the Earth's atmosphere from this decay comprises two parts,

$$\frac{d\Phi_{\gamma}}{dE_{\gamma}} = \frac{d\Phi_{\gamma}^{\text{extra}}}{dE_{\gamma}} + \sum_{i} \frac{d\Phi_{\gamma,i}}{dE_{\gamma}}$$
(1)

where the first term on the right-hand side represents an isotropic extragalactic component with a characteristic energy scaling. We do not consider this component in our analysis as it would induce a continuous spectrum that gives lower sensitivity compared to the second term on the right-hand side. In addition, it will be reduced by the sky subtraction in our analysis. The second term is our focal point, and we consider the emission from a given nearby galaxy *i* in the angular direction of Ω . Angular differential flux can be expressed as

$$\frac{\partial^2 \Phi_{\gamma,i}}{\partial \Omega \partial E} = \int ds \frac{e^{-\tau[s,\Omega]s}}{4\pi s^2} \left(\frac{q\Gamma_{\phi}\rho_{\phi}^i(s,\Omega)}{m_{\phi}}\right) s^2 \frac{\partial^2 N_{\phi,i}}{q\partial E \partial \Omega}[s,\Omega]$$
$$\simeq \frac{\partial_{\Omega} D}{4\pi} \frac{q\Gamma_{\phi}}{m_{\phi}} \frac{\partial^2 N_{\phi,i}}{q\partial E \partial \Omega}.$$
(2)

In this equation, s denotes the line-of-sight distance. ρ_{ϕ}^{i} and $(\partial^2 N_{\phi,i}/q\partial E\partial\Omega)[s,\Omega]$ signify the dark matter density profile and the emission spectrum of each photon from an individual dark matter decay in the vicinity of galaxy i, respectively. Both of the factors are contingent upon the dark matter profile models and the attributes of galaxy i in general. In the approximation, we assume that the dependence on s and Ω in $\partial^2 N_{\phi,i}/\partial E \partial \Omega$ is not significant, and we factorize the integral. Indeed, those decay signals from dSphs look like line spectra for the WINERED in the WIDE mode, in which $R = 28\,000$, given that the typical velocity dispersion of the dark matter around the center of a dSph is much smaller than 10 km/s [25]. Then, by neglecting the velocity dispersion of the dark matter we can approximate $(\partial^2 N_{\phi,i}/q\partial E\partial\Omega) = 2\delta[E - (m_{\phi}/2)(1 - v_i)]$. Here and hereafter, we use the natural units. Note that we cannot neglect the radial velocity, v_i , of the dSph since it is typically much larger than $1/R \approx 3.57 \times 10^{-5}$. For instance, the heliocentric radial velocities of Leo V and Tucana II are [38] $v_{\text{LeoV}} \approx 5.78 \times 10^{-4}$ and $v_{\text{TucII}} \approx -4.31 \times 10^{-4}$, respectively. The term

$$\partial_{\Omega} D_i \equiv \int ds \, \rho_{\phi}^i \tag{3}$$

Object name	Object type	RA(J2000)	DEC(J2000)	Date for the observation	J_m	R	T_I (sec)
Leo V	dSph	11:31:09.6	+02:13:12	2023.06.06		28 000	3600
Tucana II	dSph	22:51:55.1	-58:34:08	2023.11.02		28 000	4200
Sky region 1		11:31:56.97	+02:09:19	2023.06.06		28 000	1800
Sky region 2		22:51:06.5	-57:28:46	2023.11.02		28 000	1200
Sky region 3		22:38:08.1	-58:24:39	2023.11.02		28 000	1200
HD134936	A0V	15:14:41.4	-52:35:42	2023.06.06	9.44	28 000	90

TABLE I. Obervation logs. Here, Regions 1, 2, and 3 are for Leo V, Tucana II, and Tucana II, respectively. R is the spectral resolution. T_I denotes the total integration time.

is the so-called differential D factor [39-48], which is carefully derived in [49] as a function of the angle Ω measured from the center of the galaxy (see also [50,51]). For Leo V, $\log_{10}(\partial_{\Omega}D_{\text{LeoV}}/[\text{GeV/cm}^2/\text{sr}]) \approx 21.4^{+0.9}_{-0.7}$ around the center of the galaxy in the level of $\mathcal{O}(\operatorname{arcsec})$, which will be the position we look at. For Tucana II, $\log_{10}(\partial_{\Omega}D_{\text{TucII}}/[\text{GeV/cm}^2/\text{sr}]) \approx 22.2^{+1.4}_{-0.9}.$ Here, we adopted the estimation in Ref. [49], by using the latest observational data for the dSphs, by utilizing a generalized Hernquist profile [52,53], which takes account of nonspherical mass distributions and the possibilities of cored and cuspy dark matter profiles, fitted to observational data. τ represents the (averaged) optical depth. For the targets and wavelengths of interest, we can neglect the scattering or absorption of the photon during the propagation to the outer surface of Earth's atmosphere. However, those effects due to the atmosphere of Earth cannot be neglected. To estimate the flux on ground-based telescopes, we simply use $\eta[2\pi/E_{\gamma}] \times (\partial^2 \Phi_{\gamma,i}/\partial \Omega \partial E_{\gamma})|_{\tau=0}$, where $\eta[\lambda]$ denotes the atmospheric transmittance, which we will measure from the observation of a standard star by using the software package MOLECFIT [54,55].

From those discussions and by applying the estimate in Ref. [49], $\partial_{\Omega} \Phi_{\gamma,\text{LeoV}} \approx 1.33^{+8.28}_{-1.07} \times 10^{-6} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ arcsec}^{-2}$ $(2 \text{ eV}/m_{\phi})[q\Gamma_{\phi}/(1.65 \times 10^{24} \text{ s})^{-1}], \quad \partial_{\Omega} \Phi_{\gamma,\text{TucII}} \approx 9.83^{+}_{-8.59}$ $210.14 \times 10^{-6} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ arcsec}^{-2}(2 \text{ eV}/m_{\phi})[q\Gamma_{\phi}/(1.65 \times 10^{24} \text{ s})^{-1}].$ The flux will be compared with the observational data to set a limit on the dark matter decay rate.

Observation—Observations were conducted using the WINERED [56] attached to the 6.5 m Magellan Clay telescope on July 6 and November 2 in 2023. We used the WIDE mode with a 0.29 arcsec slit that allows us to cover the wavelength region of $\lambda = 0.92-1.35 \mu m$ with a spectral resolution of R = 28000. The observation targets were Leo V (July 6, 2023) and Tucana II (on November 2, 2023), which are dSphs located at a distance of 178 kpc and 57 kpc, respectively. The spectrometer slit (0.29 arcsec × 8.6 arcsec) was positioned near the optical centroids of the dSphs. At that time, we took care to ensure that members of dSphs or foreground stars did not enter the slit using WINERED's slit viewer, which is sensitive to the *R* band ($\lambda_0 = 0.659 \mu m$) and *I* band ($\lambda_0 = 0.800 \mu m$). The integration time per frame was set to 900 sec for Leo V and

600 sec for Tucana II. The maximum integration time per frame was determined to minimize the effects of (i) saturation from telluric night airglow and (ii) spectral shifting on the detector due to changes in ambient temperature. (Since the slight spectral shifting appeared on the observation in July, we reduced the maximum integration time to 600 sec for the observation in November.) Four frames of Leo V and seven frames of Tucana II were taken with a total exposure time of 3600 sec and 4200 sec, respectively. In order to subtract the components of the telluric lines, and ambient background radiation, such as zodiacal light, sky frames were obtained with the same integration time for each target. The sky regions (one region for Leo V and two regions for Tucana II) were selected to be more than 15 arcmin away from targets in areas without known infrared point sources. Furthermore, for the absolute flux calibration, HD134936 (A0V, $J_m = 9.44$) was observed as the photometric standard star. A0V stars have only a few strong intrinsic absorption lines from the photosphere in the WINERED wavelength region, making them suitable for use as photometric standard stars in high-resolution spectroscopy. The spectrum of HD134936 was used not only for the flux calibration of Leo V observed on the same night but also for that of Tucana II on a different night. The atmosphere transmittance, $\eta(\lambda)$, is also measured. On the night of November 2, the wind was extremely strong, and due to the observatory's regulations, we were forced to interrupt the observations midway. As a result, we were unable to acquire spectra of the standard star on the November run. However, it is known that the efficiency of the WINERED instrument is very stable, and even including variations in atmospheric transmittance, the impact of using a standard star from different nights is not very significant, especially for the wavelength regime $\eta(\lambda) \approx 1$ (see, e.g., Ref. [57]). The observation log is shown in Table I.

Data reduction—For the data analysis, we utilized the WINERED Automatic Reduction Pipeline (WARP, [58]), provided as the standard pipeline for WINERED. WARP is capable of executing the basic analysis necessary for spectra obtained by WINERED, including sky and scattered light subtractions, flat fielding, interpolation of cosmic-ray-contaminated and bad pixels, transformation of two-dimensional spectra, and wavelength calibration. However,

since we found a wavelength shift of approximately 0.1 pixels during a single exposure (t = 900 sec) in the raw data of Leo V (even such a small shift can introduce significant systematic errors after sky subtraction due to the peaky profile of airglow lines), we prepared corrected twodimensional images for the wavelength shifts beforehand and input these data sets into WARP for analysis. By summing up the two-dimensional echelle spectra along the spatial direction (along the slit length) for each order produced by WARP, we finally obtained the average spectrum $F(\lambda)$ and error spectrum $\sigma(\lambda)$ of the central regions of targets. They are defined in the units of $cm^{-2} s^{-1} sr^{-1}$. In conducting flux calibration for the slit spectrometer, it was necessary to estimate the amount of slit loss due to the standard star's image size being wider than the slit width. We estimated the slit loss from the assumption that the stellar image is a Gaussian profile and the seeing size (FWHM) was measured from the spatial-direction cross section of the twodimensional spectrum of the standard star on the detector.

Spectrum-independent analysis—We can set a 2σ limit on the decay rate of dark matter by requiring the dark matter flux to be smaller than the reduced spectra in the 2σ range, i.e., $\partial_{\Omega} \Phi_{\gamma,i} < 2\sigma_i [4\pi/m_{\phi}(1-v_i)]/\eta [4\pi/m_{\phi}(1-v_i)].$ In our analysis, we exclusively utilized data where $|F(\lambda)| < 1$ $2\sigma(\lambda)$, excluding data points where $|F(\lambda)| > 2\sigma(\lambda)$. This threshold ensures that we consider only data consistent with statistical fluctuations around zero following sky subtraction. To synthesize the data, we selected the most stringent limits among those derived from the data of Tucana II and Leo V for each bin. Each bin is defined by the range $[m_{\phi}(1 - 1/28\,000)^{-1/2} - m_{\phi}(1 - 1/28\,000)^{1/2}]$. We varied m_{ϕ} discretely to cover the whole range from 1.8 eV to 2.7 eV without overlapping. We include the effect of the Doppler shifts due to the radial velocities of the dSphs (by taking account of the rotation and orbital motion of the Earth). Thus our analysis is done on the dSph frames. Therefore the intrinsic line emission and absorption on the Earth frame is further reduced. The combined results are given in the Supplemental Material [59]. In the analysis so far, we did not assume the signal to be a line spectrum, and the data can be useful for various other scenarios.

Results—To constrain line spectra, we perform a subtraction using a spline fit over each echelle order by using 20–50 points. This method helps to eliminate some systematic errors, particularly under the assumption that the signal conforms to a line spectrum. It allows us to increase the number of bins with a photon flux consistent with zero. The resulting limit on the decay rate is illustrated in the red band in the upper panel of Fig. 1 by taking account of the uncertainty in the *D* factor, and the gray shaded region is excluded even with the conservative *D* factor in the generalized Hernquist profile in the coredlike profile limit in the ultrafaint dSphs [49]. However, according to the recent *N*-body and hydrodynamical simulations (e.g., [60,61]), the conventional Navarro-Frenk-White



FIG. 1. We show the 2σ limit for dark matter decaying into two massless particles, one of which is a photon. The top panels show the constraints on the decay rate multiplied by the number of photons in the final state, q, as a function of the mass m_{ϕ} . The red band (blue band) corresponds to the uncertainty in the differential D factor from the generalized Hernquist profile (the NFW profile). The gray region is excluded even in the generalized Hernquist profile case, while above the blue band is excluded in the NFW profile case by assuming conservative D factors. The bottom panel displays the translated constraints on the photon coupling, $g_{\phi\gamma\gamma}$, for the ALP dark matter (q = 2). The horizontal dashed line denotes the bound from stellar cooling. The limits from JWST blank sky observations by Refs. [32,33] and MUSE [36] are also shown for comparison.

(NFW) profile [62–64] is predicted. This could be understood from suppressed baryonic feedback in the ultrafaint dSphs. Thus, we also employ the differential *D* factor for the NFW profile [45], $\log_{10}(\partial_{\Omega}D_{\text{LeoV}}^{\text{NFW}}/[\text{GeV cm}^{-2} \text{ sr}^{-1}]) \approx$ $22.4_{-0.4}^{+0.5}$ and $\log_{10}(\partial_{\Omega}D_{\text{TucII}}^{\text{NFW}}/[\text{GeV cm}^{-2} \text{ sr}^{-1}]) \approx 22.9_{-0.3}^{+0.4}$ for setting the limit in the blue band for comparison (see Supplemental Material for the derivation). The region above the blue band is excluded by using the smallest values in the uncertainty.

The lower panel of the figure is the same one translated into the photon coupling of the ALP dark matter with q = 2 [65]. For comparison, the bounds from the globular clusters (the horizontal dashed line) [66,67], JWST blank sky observations adopting NFW profile of the Milky Way galaxy [32,33], and MUSE indirect detection adopting NFW profiles of various dSphs (in the right region) [36] (see Ref. [68] for some data we used) are also shown together with the ALP miracle prediction [1-3] (see Ref. [25] for the plot). Our observations and analysis enable us to set one of the strongest limits to date on dark matter decaying into a photon with a line spectrum in the mass range of 1.8-2.7 eV.

Discussion—We note that $\mathcal{O}(1)\%$ of the total bins remain unconstrained, since the condition, $|F_i| 4\pi/m_{\phi}(1 |v_i|| > 2\sigma_i [4\pi/m_{\phi}(1-v_i)]$ holds both for Tucana II and LeoV. They are, therefore, not included in the figures, although they are difficult to see due to the high resolution. In this sense, we did not completely exclude the region that can be seen. These data points will be crucial in our future studies. In the data that were not included in the present analysis, we also found excesses of more than 5 sigma local significance by performing a fit by a narrow Gaussian line combined with a smooth spline function. Interestingly, a few of these excesses overlap in the dSphs' frames. However, it must be noted that the observational conditions were not ideal. Therefore, while we observe intriguing excesses, we are cautious about claiming the detection of intrinsic line signals at this stage. Nonetheless, these findings underscore the importance of conducting further observations.

Acknowledgments—W. Y. would like to thank K. Hayashi for the useful discussion on the dark matter profiles. This work was supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grants (W. Y.), No. 20H05851 No. 22K14029 (W. Y.), No. 21K20364 (W.Y.), and No. 22H01215 (W.Y.) and Incentive Research Fund for Young Researchers from Tokyo Metropolitan University (W.Y.). This Letter is based on WINERED data gathered with the 6.5 m Magellan Clay Telescope located at Las Campanas Observatory, Chile, under the proposal "eV-Dark Matter search with WINERED" (PI: Wen Yin, Co-Is: Yuji Ikeda and Taiki Bessho). We thank the staff of Las Campanas Observatory for their support during WINERED's installation and observations. WINERED was developed by the University of Tokyo and the Laboratory of Infrared High-resolution Spectroscopy, Kyoto Sangyo University, under the financial support of KAKENHI (No. 16684001, No. 20340042, and No. 21840052) and the MEXT Supported Program for the Strategic Research Foundation at Private Universities (No. S0801061 and No. S1411028). The observing runs in June, 2023 and November, 2023 were partly supported by KAKENHI (Grant No. 19KK0080), JSPS Bilateral Program Number JPJSBP120239909, and the Project Research Number AB0518 from the Astrobiology Center, NINS, Japan.

- [3] E. Armengaud *et al.* (IAXO Collaboration), J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys. 06 (2019) 047.
- [4] F. Takahashi and W. Yin, Phys. Rev. D 109, 035024 (2024).
- [5] S. Chang and K. Choi, Phys. Lett. B 316, 51 (1993).
- [6] T. Moroi and H. Murayama, Phys. Lett. B 440, 69 (1998).
- [7] L. Caloni, M. Gerbino, M. Lattanzi, and L. Visinelli, J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys. 09 (2022) 021.
- [8] A. Lella, P. Carenza, G. Co', G. Lucente, M. Giannotti, A. Mirizzi, and T. Rauscher, Phys. Rev. D 109, 023001 (2024).
- [9] W. Yin, J. High Energy Phys. 05 (2023) 180.
- [10] We also note that there are many production mechanisms that can produce dark matter in but are not restricted to, around the eV mass range: e.g., although the minimal vacuum misalignment mechanism does not apply to the parameter region of our interest [11–13], one may introduce dark sector topological susceptibility [14] and a flat-topshaped potential with a hilltop condition [15] to produce the dark matter in the region of interest.
- [11] J. Preskill, M. B. Wise, and F. Wilczek, Phys. Lett. 120B, 127 (1983).
- [12] L. F. Abbott and P. Sikivie, Phys. Lett. 120B, 133 (1983).
- [13] M. Dine and W. Fischler, Phys. Lett. 120B, 137 (1983).
- [14] P. Arias, D. Cadamuro, M. Goodsell, J. Jaeckel, J. Redondo, and A. Ringwald, J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys. 06 (2012) 013.
- [15] S. Nakagawa, F. Takahashi, and W. Yin, J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys. 05 (2020) 004.
- [16] A. Caputo, A. Vittino, N. Fornengo, M. Regis, and M. Taoso, J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys. 05 (2021) 046.
- [17] A. Korochkin, A. Neronov, and D. Semikoz, J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys. 03 (2020) 064.
- [18] J. L. Bernal, A. Caputo, G. Sato-Polito, J. Mirocha, and M. Kamionkowski, Phys. Rev. D 107, 103046 (2023).
- [19] Y. Gong, A. Cooray, K. Mitchell-Wynne, X. Chen, M. Zemcov, and J. Smidt, Astrophys. J. 825, 104 (2016).
- [20] K. Kohri, T. Moroi, and K. Nakayama, Phys. Lett. B 772, 628 (2017).
- [21] O. E. Kalashev, A. Kusenko, and E. Vitagliano, Phys. Rev. D 99, 023002 (2019).
- [22] A. Kashlinsky, R. G. Arendt, F. Atrio-Barandela, N. Cappelluti, A. Ferrara, and G. Hasinger, Rev. Mod. Phys. 90, 025006 (2018).
- [23] K. Nakayama and W. Yin, Phys. Rev. D 106, 103505 (2022).
- [24] P. Carenza, G. Lucente, and E. Vitagliano, Phys. Rev. D 107, 083032 (2023).
- [25] T. Bessho, Y. Ikeda, and W. Yin, Phys. Rev. D 106, 095025 (2022).
- [26] Y. Ikeda, N. Kobayashi, S. Kondo, C. Yasui, K. Motohara, and A. Minami, in *Ground-Based and Airborne Instrumentation for Astronomy* (SPIE, Bellingham, WA, 2006), Vol. 6269, pp. 1224–1231.
- [27] C. Yasui, S. Kondo, Y. Ikeda, A. Minami, K. Motohara, and N. Kobayashi, in *Ground-based and Airborne Instrumentation for Astronomy II* (SPIE, Bellingham, WA, 2008), Vol. 7014, pp. 1095–1106.
- [28] S. Kondo, Y. Ikeda, N. Kobayashi, C. Yasui, H. Mito, K. Fukue, K. Nakanishi, T. Kawanishi, T. Nakaoka, S. Otsubo *et al.*, arXiv:1501.03403.
- [29] Y. Ikeda, N. Kobayashi, S. Kondo, S. Otsubo, S. Hamano, H. Sameshima, T. Yoshikawa, K. Fukue, K. Nakanishi,
 - T. Kawanishi et al., in Ground-Based and Airborne

R. Daido, F. Takahashi, and W. Yin, J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys. 05 (2017) 044.

^[2] R. Daido, F. Takahashi, and W. Yin, J. High Energy Phys. 02 (2018) 104.

Instrumentation for Astronomy VI (SPIE, Bellingham, WA, 2016), Vol. 9908, pp. 1800–1813.

- [30] Y. Ikeda, N. Kobayashi, S. Kondo, S. Otsubo, A. Watase, T. Murai, K. Sakamoto, S. Hamano, H. Sameshima, K. Fukue et al., in *Ground-based and Airborne Instrumentation for Astronomy VII* (SPIE, Bellingham, WA, 2018), Vol. 10702, pp. 1751–1762.
- [31] Y. Ikeda et al., Publ. Astron. Soc. Pac. 134, 015004 (2022).
- [32] R. Janish and E. Pinetti, arXiv:2310.15395.
- [33] S. Roy, C. Blanco, C. Dessert, A. Prabhu, and T. Temim, arXiv:2311.04987.
- [34] D. Grin, G. Covone, J.-P. Kneib, M. Kamionkowski, A. Blain, and E. Jullo, Phys. Rev. D 75, 105018 (2007).
- [35] M. Regis, M. Taoso, D. Vaz, J. Brinchmann, S. L. Zoutendijk, N. F. Bouché, and M. Steinmetz, Phys. Lett. B 814, 136075 (2021).
- [36] E. Todarello, M. Regis, J. Reynoso-Cordova, M. Taoso, D. Vaz, J. Brinchmann, M. Steinmetz, and S. L. Zoutendijke, J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys. 05 (2024) 043.
- [37] J. Jaeckel and W. Yin, Phys. Rev. D 105, 115003 (2022).
- [38] M. Wenger *et al.*, Astron. Astrophys. Suppl. Ser. **143**, 9 (2000).
- [39] C. Combet, D. Maurin, E. Nezri, E. Pointecouteau, J. A. Hinton, and R. White, Phys. Rev. D 85, 063517 (2012).
- [40] A. Geringer-Sameth, S. M. Koushiappas, and M. Walker, Astrophys. J. 801, 74 (2015).
- [41] V. Bonnivard *et al.*, Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. **453**, 849 (2015).
- [42] V. Bonnivard, C. Combet, D. Maurin, A. Geringer-Sameth, S. M. Koushiappas, M. G. Walker, M. Mateo, E. W. Olszewski, and J. I. Bailey III, Astrophys. J. Lett. 808, L36 (2015).
- [43] K. Hayashi, K. Ichikawa, S. Matsumoto, M. Ibe, M. N. Ishigaki, and H. Sugai, Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. 461, 2914 (2016).
- [44] J. L. Sanders, N. W. Evans, A. Geringer-Sameth, and W. Dehnen, Phys. Rev. D 94, 063521 (2016).
- [45] N. W. Evans, J. L. Sanders, and A. Geringer-Sameth, Phys. Rev. D 93, 103512 (2016).
- [46] K. Hayashi, M. Fabrizio, E. L. Łokas, G. Bono, M. Monelli, M. Dall'Ora, and P. B. Stetson, Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. 481, 250 (2018).
- [47] M. Petac, P. Ullio, and M. Valli, J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys. 12 (2018) 039.

- [48] P. Salucci, Astron. Astrophys. Rev. 27, 2 (2019).
- [49] W. Yin and K. Hayashi, Astrophys. J. 960, 98 (2024).
- [50] K. Hayashi, M. Chiba, and T. Ishiyama, Astrophys. J. 904, 45 (2020).
- [51] K. Hayashi, Y. Hirai, M. Chiba, and T. Ishiyama, Astrophys. J. 953, 185 (2023).
- [52] L. Hernquist, Astrophys. J. 356, 359 (1990).
- [53] H. Zhao, Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. 278, 488 (1996).
- [54] A. Smette, H. Sana, S. Noll, H. Horst, W. Kausch, S. Kimeswenger, M. Barden, C. Szyszka, A. Jones, A. Gallenne *et al.*, Astron. Astrophys. **576**, A77 (2015).
- [55] W. Kausch, S. Noll, A. Smette, S. Kimeswenger, M. Barden, C. Szyszka, A. Jones, H. Sana, H. Horst, and F. Kerber, Astron. Astrophys. 576, A78 (2015).
- [56] Y. Ikeda *et al.*, Publ. Astron. Soc. Pac. **134**, 015004 (2022).
- [57] J. A. Frogel, Publ. Astron. Soc. Pac. 110, 200 (1998).
- [58] S. Hamano, Y. Ikeda, S. Otsubo, H. Katoh, K. Fukue, N. Matsunaga, D. Taniguchi, H. Kawakita, K. Takenaka, S. Kondo, and H. Sameshima, Publ. Astron. Soc. Pac. 136, 014504 (2024).
- [59] See Supplemental Material at http://link.aps.org/ supplemental/10.1103/PhysRevLett.134.051004 for slit images, limits for generic spectra, differential *D*-factors derived from NFW profile, and several data points that are used in this work.
- [60] E. Tollet *et al.*, Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. **456**, 3542 (2016);
 487, 1764(E) (2019).
- [61] A. Lazar et al., Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. 497, 2393 (2020).
- [62] J. F. Navarro, C. S. Frenk, and S. D. M. White, Astrophys. J. 462, 563 (1996).
- [63] J. F. Navarro, A. Ludlow, V. Springel, J. Wang, M. Vogelsberger, S. D. M. White, A. Jenkins, C. S. Frenk, and A. Helmi, Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. 402, 21 (2010).
- [64] A. Burkert, Astrophys. J. Lett. 447, L25 (1995).
- [65] 20 peaks in the figure are due to the efficiency of WINERED, i.e., the boundary effect of the slits for the echelle gratings.
- [66] M. J. Dolan, F. J. Hiskens, and R. R. Volkas, J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys. 10 (2022) 096.
- [67] A. Ayala, I. Domínguez, M. Giannotti, A. Mirizzi, and O. Straniero, Phys. Rev. Lett. 113, 191302 (2014).
- [68] C. O'Hare, cajohare/axionlimits: Axionlimits, https:// cajohare.github.io/AxionLimits/ (2020).